1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 23-CR-197(JS) United States Courthouse Central Islip, New York -against-Tuesday, December 12, 2023 10:30 Å.M. GEORGE DEVOLDER SANTOS, Defendant. TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDGE JOANNA SEYBERT APPEARANCES: UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE For the Government: Eastern District of New York 600 Federal Plaza Central Islip, New York 11772 BY: ANTHONY BAGNOLA, ESQ. Assistant United States Attorney For the Defendant: JOSEPH W. MURRAY 185 Great Neck Road Great Neck, New York 11021 BY: JOSEPH W. MURRAY, ESQ. Official Court Reporter: ToniAnn Lucatorto, RMR, CRR, RPR E-mail: ToniAnnLucatorto@gmail.com Proceedings recorded by computerized stenography.
Transcript produced by Computer-aided Transcription.

2 1 (In open court.) 2 (JUDGE JOANNA SEYBERT enters the courtroom.) COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. 3 THE COURT: Please be seated. 4 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Calling criminal case 5 23-CR-197. United States of America versus George Santos. 6 7 Counsel, please state your appearances for the 8 record, beginning with the Government. 9 MR. STEINER: Good morning, your Honor. Jacob 10 Steiner for the United States. From the Department of 11 Justice, Department of Public Integrity section. 12 joined by Ryan Harris from the EDNY, John Taddei from the 13 Public Integrity Section, and Laura Zuckerwise and Anthony 14 Bagnola from the EDNY. 15 MR. MURRAY: Good morning, your Honor. Joseph 16 Murray on behalf of George Santos sitting next to me. 17 THE COURT: Good morning to you all. This is a 18 status conference. I recently received a letter from the 19 Government requesting an earlier trial date, but we'll get 20 to that in a moment. 21 Is there anything that you would like to advise 22 I realize there has been four productions of the Court? 23 various materials, discovery items. They were outlined in 24 the letter. And that and an additional request to move 25 the trial from September to June. And I'll hear Mr.

3 1 Murray on that issue in a moment. 2 Is there anything the Government would like to 3 put on the record regarding status at this point? 4 MR. STEINER: Your Honor, the Government and the defendant have been engaged in plea negotiations. 5 expect those to continue. Your Honor, other than the 6 7 trial date, we also ask the Court to set a motion schedule 8 and a pretrial schedule for a trial. If the Court would 9 please set another status conference roughly 30 days out 10 for the parties to check in on those issues. 11 THE COURT: The motion schedule seems a bit 12 vigorous, I would say. You're looking to start motion 13 practice in January when you have a firm trial date in 14 September, maybe slightly earlier. I obviously wouldn't 15 get to it. 16 Mr. Murray, would you like to be heard on the 17 trial date or discovery issues? 18 MR. MURRAY: I would, your Honor. And I want to 19 thank the Government. We've been working wonderfully 20 together in sharing this material. The one thing I think 21 the Court needs to be aware of to help you guide you in 22 your decision whether or not to move the trial date is the 23 voluminous amount of materials that we've had to go work

them real quick. The fourth production is today. We're

On top of which, Judge, if I could go through

24

25

going to get that fourth production and in comparison to the others, it's about 12,000, somewhere around there.

THE COURT: 12,000 pages?

MR. MURRAY: Pages. I just want to go through the productions Judge. The first one was June 6th. You may recall we adjourned for the summer. It was 86,674 pages. Then on September 6th, three months later, they gave us 194,112 pages. The total being 280,786. We've been struggling to go through all of that material. The third production came less than two months later, we had to work on -- October 27th our last court date, they handed us a drive and on that was 1,018,814 pages of material. But more importantly, Judge, of that 1 million, 815,348 were deemed sensitive. Which means I can only view them with my client, I can't give it to him to review on his own.

I then brought this to the attention of the Government when they said they wanted to advance the trial date. And right away they reduced that, reclassified it to confidential. To alleviate that burden. But it's still a million more documents that we have to go though. So the total as of October 27th, Judge, was 1,299,600. With the documents that we received today, it will be 1,312,210. I ask the Court to please I understand the Government has an interest to push this trial date, but

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## Status Conference

5

there's a lot of material, a lot of various different charges that we have to review. We are actively in negotiating with them. I'd really like to put my efforts into that so that possibly we come to a resolution. But if I have a January motion date and a May trial date, I really have the gun to my head about what to prioritize. So I ask the Court to consider that we'd like to keep the September trial date. I think it's premature to go forward with that pre trial schedule, particularly the motion date of January 19th. We're asking for 30 days to come back, Judge. I think we have been having productive communications. I think this could be fruitful if we could focus on that. So that's my request to the Court. THE COURT: Thank you Mr. Murray. Any response from the Government? MR. STEINER: I think Mr. Murray has the general page counts correct with respect to discovery. want to note a few things. One is that the Government producing discovery on June 27th, it's almost six months ago already. So since then, we made three additional productions. I'll point out that the September 1st production, that constituted 344,000 records. About 140,000 of those records were returns from 2703(e) orders

So --

those are non-content returns. That contain things like

the to, from, date and subject line of e-mails.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

having back and forth.

## Status Conference

6 THE COURT: Can you narrow it down in terms of saying generally what the Government does on any cases. They will say we'll focus on these documents and then give them the heads up. I mean, 1.3 million, you know you have a lot of duplication in there. MR. STEINER: Absolutely, your Honor, and absolutely is why we disclosed these materials in the abundance of caution. The Government is more than happy to provide the defendant with assistance in terms of identifying key documents, in terms of identifying the types in documents they're looking at. In addition to the 140,000 orders that I think are of limited evidentiary value, another extremely large group of evidence has been turned over. Again in the interest of caution, was approximately 700,000 records, about 800,000 pages, from the co-defendant's cell phone. That was a mirror image of the cell phone, which means that contained 10, if not hundreds of thousands of records that are --THE COURT: Unrelated, correct? MR. STEINER: Correct. Whether they're personal items or related to business items. THE COURT: But you know how to star the ones that are not important. But that would not only aid in

trial preparations, but any other negotiations you're

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## Status Conference

7 Have you had a proffer session yet with the defendant, defense counsel, and the Government? 0r that's not happening? MR. STEINER: We have not have a formal proffer session, your Honor, although we have negotiations with defense counsel and we have certainly begun to share some of the key documents that we think explain our case. And we are happy to, again, assist with defense counsel identifying on the key records that narrow the universe sp that defense counsel can prepare and also to help expedite the trial schedule we've asked for. The other thing I want to point out, your Honor, with respect to the motion schedule. Of course we would defer to the Court's judgment as the appropriate motion schedule. I will note, however, that the opening motions, motions to dismiss, motions to suppress, motions to sever, those --THE COURT: Those will be quickly decided. But to have motions begin nine months before get that. the trial is likely to happen seems a bit lengthy to me. What I would suggest is, perhaps, in view of the fact that you have five government attorneys, Mr. Murray, did you ever get an associate to assist you?

MR. MURRAY: Your Honor, we have another firm that my client is familiar with and used in the past.

## Status Conference

They we haven't been formally engaged yet, but they have agreed to work with us and are ready to take on the case.

THE COURT: I might have an opening as early as April for a trial schedule. But I know that there are older cases and frankly, I don't think I've seen a case in the last 20 years that the indictment is rendered and a trial occurred with discovery and everything else much sooner than a year. And especially when you have a document heavy case such as this. But I agree with you; preliminary motions, we can probably do those starting in April. They're not going to take very long from what you're telling me. The type of motion that's being made. To dismiss the indictment and that. So I can render a decision pretty quickly on that and any motion to serve or rather to suppress.

MR. STEINER: Understood, your Honor. And I think the important thing to note about those types of motions is they're not motions that require a full summary review of the discovery. The motions can be made largely based on the face of the search warrant admitted into evidences. Certainly resolving those early will help the parties sharpen the issues prior to trial. For example; if a motion to sever would be granted, it would trial strategy, maybe result in multiple trials. So having those motions resolved earlier rather than later will

# Status Conference

allow the parties and the Court to prepare more for trial.

THE COURT: But it will also detract, to some extent, on the ability to engage in plea negotiations. But I agree with you. I can decide those quickly. April, unless I get a real early trial date that opens up. I will be more than willing to do it. But the cases I have on my docket, as you all know, are as a result of Covid and just the volume of cases and the judges who are not necessarily assigned to the courthouse has grown. So what I suggest we do is you have additional argument you want to make, I'm open to hearing it. But at this point, it looks like September is going to be the earliest. And we don't the have the issue of the defendant having to go to Washington on a regular basis.

So the Government has a clear team in place and defendant needs a little bit of time to engage the other defense firm. I would think.

MR. STEINER: Understood, your Honor. The only comparison I wanted to draw was United States V Barrack which was tried in the Eastern District of New York in September of 2022. That case went to trial approximately one year and 2 months after the indictment. So it would be a slightly faster pace than this case. It also, involved multiple co-defendants and large amounts of discovery and classified information procedures. So I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## Status Conference

10 think it would be more complex than this case and went to trial a little bit faster. Just as a comparison, your Honor but that's --THE COURT: That's one out of many. Generally the indictment is rendered well before a year passes and the trial commences. MR. STEINER: Understood, your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: And the defendant is not in custody. So that's one issue the Court considers. And while it might not be the most complex case in the world, it appears that you will have two individuals; perhaps they may testify, previously haven't taken pleas, I don't And I don't get involved in plea negotiations so obviously we will move this as expeditiously as possible. MR. STEINER: Thank you, your Honor. appreciate it. THE COURT: Anything that you would like to add, Mr. Murray? MR. MURRAY: Your Honor, I just want to clarify something, and I mean this with all due respect. phone material that they were talking about, the 800 plus thousand, that's what they deemed to be sensitive. They're now telling you that it's, you know, essentially irrelevant. But they deemed it to be sensitive when they

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## Status Conference

11 turned it over on October 27th. It was like listed as number 20 on the list of material that's in there. when I got to it, I can't review it unless my client is in my presence. When I went to them about it, I said look you guys deemed this arge, massive material sensitive, can we change that? They did change it immediately. just want the Court to know because they're saying it's essentially cell phone irrelevant material. I agree with a lot of what I saw so far that it is irrelevant; it's pictures of family and, you know, like cell phone stuff. But it was deemed sensitive and that really delayed us in reviewing it. And that's why I'm asking for this time to keep it at the September trial date. THE COURT: Well, September is a long way off. It's only December now. So things open up. Obviously I'll move it up. But at this point in view of my schedule and the need to review the discovery materials, you will have to get other people on board, Mr. Murray, because the Government is entitled to a speedy trial also. MR. MURRAY: I agree. THE COURT: Anything else that the Court should be aware of before we set another status date in the new year? MR. MURRAY: Can I just speak with counsel? THE COURT: Sure.

12

1 MR. STEINER: Your Honor, can the parties 2 approach for a sidebar? We have one issue defense counsel 3 wanted to clarify with you. THE COURT: Sidebar on the record to the side? 4 Absolutely essential? I do everything on the record. 5 MR. STEINER: Your Honor, I apologize for the 6 7 delav. Defense counsel and I, we just wanted to clarify 8 one point I made earlier. Is that in response to your 9 question about whether the parties engaged in a proffer 10 session, my response was that we have not in saying that I 11 meant that we have not engaged in a reverse proffer, which 12 the Government presented it's evidence in its case of the 13 defendant. So I wanted to be clear that is what I meant 14 when I said we did not engage in such a session. I think 15 did that answer your question? 16 THE COURT: Yes, it does. And also, you have 17 started preliminary discussions on a plea; is that 18 correct? 19 MR. STEINER: Thank you. 20 THE COURT: Okay, thank you. So let's put it on for a status date unless there's nothing else. 21 22 MR. STEINER: Your Honor, I just wanted to check 23 whether the Court wanted to enter a pretrial. 24 THE COURT: No, I'll do it at the next 25 conference because you'll have a much better idea and

13 1 there should be substantial progress made, Mr. Murray, on 2 reviewing discovery materials. And of course any 3 discussions, your relationship with the Government seems 4 to be a fruitful one in terms of discussions and understanding of the case on both sides. 5 6 MR. MURRAY: I 100 percent agree. When I 7 presented them with this issue, they immediately 8 reclassified it. So thank you. 9 THE COURT: All right. Let's get a January 10 status date or early February. 11 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Counsel, January 23, 12 10:30. 13 MR. STEINER: That works, your Honor. Thank 14 vou. 15 That's good for the defendant. MR. MURRAY: 16 THE COURT: Have a good holiday everyone. 17 Something else? 18 MR. STEINER: Your Honor, the Government just 19 requests to exclude time from today. 20 THE COURT: Absolutely. It's obvious under the 21 Speedy Trial Act that the defendant is requesting this 22 The Government appears to be ready to go forward 23 once motions are completed. And in the interest of 24 justice, the time is excluded. 25 (Proceeding concluded.)